

Wintertime Factors Affecting Contaminant Distribution in Farrowing Barns

Kelsie A. Reeve, MS and T. Renée Anthony, PhD

Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, The College of Public Health, The University of Iowa

Background

Exposed to dusts and waste gases generated by swine inside CAFOs, workers have experienced both acute and chronic respiratory diseases:

- Irritation to respiratory tract
- Airflow obstruction
- Bronchial inflammation
- Reduction in pulmonary function
- Chronic bronchitis

Concentrations of dusts and gaseous contaminants differ depending on:

- Size of operation
- Feed and waste handling procedures
- Level of swine activity
- Tasks included in a work shift
- Ventilation practices
- Season

In the Midwest, winter swine confinement operations have little ventilation.

Occupational exposure limits (OSHA, ACGIH) based on time-weighted averages of an 8-hour work shift exist for contaminants found in CAFOs. However, due to the exposure mixture, industry guidelines have been proposed for swine CAFO workers to prevent a decrease in pulmonary function. Personal exposures should be below these limits to prevent illnesses.

Contaminant Exposure Limits

Contaminant	OSHA PEL	ACGIH TLV	Industry Guidelines
Respirable Dust	5 mg/m ³	3 mg/m ³	0.23 mg/m ^{3*}
Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	5000 ppm	5000 ppm	1540 ppm*
Ammonia (NH ₃)	50 ppm	25 ppm	7 ppm*
Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	10 ppm	1 ppm	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	50 ppm	25 ppm	

OSHA – regulatory permissible exposure limit (PEL) ACGIH – international consensus threshold limit value (TLV) *Donham, et al. 1989

Study Questions

- 1. Does the pit fan reduce concentrations?
- 2. Does concentration change throughout the day?
- 3. What is the most efficient method to characterize concentrations?

Methods

Measured 5 random days over 3-weeks, in winter, 19-crate farrowing room

- Fixed areas:
- 7 stations (A-G)
- Continuously throughout day
- Mobile monitoring for mapping:
- 43 positions
- Three 90-minute events per day

y Schematic Diagram of Sampling Locations

 \otimes

1.1

в

A

 \otimes

↑•↑ •

D

G

• F

E

Samples were collected from 7:00 AM – 12:00 PM • Afternoon concentrations were not measured

Results

*Daily mean



Results, *continued*

Pit fan reduced mean concentration of:

Respirable dust, mg/m ³ :	Off = 0.47	On = 0.33
 CO₂, ppm: 	Off = 3660	On = 2920
NH ₂ , ppm;	Off = 8.36	On = 3.92

• H₂S, ppm: Off = 0.48 On = 0.11

Respirable dust levels were highest before 10:00 AM • Potentially related to feeding time

Every day, CO_2 levels increased over time. Larger increases were seen with the pit fan off.

Recommend not to use a single 'central barn' sampler as an indication of average room exposure in a farrowing CAFO.

NOTE: This project did not assess personal exposures.

Workers spent a limited amount of time inside the barn during these 5-hour testing periods \rightarrow *lower exposure risk.*

In production operations, workers may spend a full shift inside farrowing barns performing more tasks \rightarrow higher exposure risk.

Personal monitoring is needed to assess personal exposure risk. Personal exposure data require workers to wear a sampling pump or monitor for a full-shift to include taskspecific exposures and time spent outside of CAFO units over a "full shift" (e.g., 8-hours).



Conclusions

Contaminant area concentrations did *not* exceed regulatory occupational exposure limits.

Respirable dust, CO_2 , and NH_3 fixed-area station mean concentrations exceeded *industry guidelines*.

Pit ventilation reduced contaminant concentration in a farrowing barn during winter, but not below industry guidelines for respirable dust, CO₂, and NH₃.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by CDC/NIOSH Great Plains Center for Agricultural Health, U50 OH007548. The authors thank the faculty, staff, and students at the Kirkwood Community College Swine Enterprise.



UBLIC HEALTH